


**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
WESTERN DIVISION
No. 5:19-cv-249-FL**

MATTHEW BRADLEY,)	
)	DECLARATION OF DAN BOOTH IN
)	SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT
Plaintiff,)	ANALYTICAL GRAMMAR, INC.'S
v.)	MOTION TO EXCLUDE
)	UNDISCLOSED EVIDENCE AND
ANALYTICAL GRAMMAR, INC.,)	ARGUMENT ON DAMAGES AND
)	PROFITS UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 37(c)
Defendant.)	

Exhibit 4: Email from James Freeman, an associate in the firm of Plaintiff
Bradley's lead counsel, to Dan Booth dated January 23, 2020

From: James Freeman jf@liebowitzlawfirm.com 
Subject: Bradley v. Analytical Grammar: Discovery Issues
Date: January 23, 2020 at 8:47 PM
To: Dan dan@danboothlaw.com
Cc: Richard Liebowitz RL@liebowitzlawfirm.com, Seth Hudson shudson@worldpatents.com



Derar Counsel:

As per your January 20 e-mail, below please find Plaintiff's timely responses to Defendant's additional discovery requests.

Defendant's Request No. 1: First, Mr. Bradley testified that he had contacted five websites that had posted the photograph. Documents regarding only two of the websites, imgur and Reddit, were produced (MB_073, MB_075, MB_076). He did not identify the other three websites during the deposition, and he has not produced any documents about them. But he testified that he has a Word document with information about the other three websites, and that he had provided it to his counsel. It has not been produced to Analytical, more than a month after the deposition. We need to see it. Please produce it, and any other documents responsive to Request No. 27, no later than Thursday, January 23, 2020.

Plaintiff Response: Plaintiff does not have any documents responsive to this Request. Plaintiff contacted the additional websites through an on-line form but did not receive a response and did not take any screenshots of the form request.

Defendant's Request No. 2: Second, he testified that he had set up a Custom Ink account after responding to Analytical's interrogatories. No documents have been produced related to the account, but based on his testimony and his response to Interrogatory No. 4, any documents related to the account are responsive to at least Analytical's Document Request No. 20. Please supplement the production with all documents related to the Custom Ink account by no later than Thursday, January 23, 2020.

Plaintiff's Response: The documents are publicly accessible to Defendant, and Defendant can take screenshots of Plaintiff's Custom Ink account here:

<https://www.customink.com/profiles/designs?e=bWJyYWRsZXIAYnJhZC10ZWNoLmNvbQ%3D%3D>

Defendant's Request No. 3: Third, during the deposition, Mr. Allan requested a clawback of MB_087. The document was not identified in your privilege log. It was part of your supplemental production, after counsel conferred, which you produced as part of resolving a dispute over your compliance with our discovery requests. Please confirm, on or before Thursday, January 23, 2020, that you will not seek to claw back that document or any others.

Plaintiff's Response: Plaintiff is not seeking clawback of any documents previously produced as MB_001-88.

Defendant's Request No. 4: Fourth, Mr. Bradley testified that metadata on the Photograph was provided to counsel that identifies him as the photographer. He also conceded that the metadata was not within the document produced as "Dec. 17 Post.PDF" (MB_012-66). Analytical's requests for production specifically sought any responsive metadata, and it appears responsive to at least Analytical's Document Request No. 29 & 30. But it is appears that the metadata is not relevant to his claims, since he also testified that no CMI other than his name was conveyed with the Photograph when he posted it on Facebook was his name (he contended that his Facebook username counts as CMI). We must require production of the metadata, unless we have your word that it is not relevant to Mr. Bradley's claims. So, by no later than Thursday, January 23, 2020, either produce the metadata, or confirm that Mr. Bradley will not contend that it is material to any claim or defense

Plaintiff's Response: Metadata attached hereto as MB_089-91.



MB_089-91.pdf